**Application No.:** 10/612,156

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

After the foregoing Amendment, claims 1-11, 16 and 17-18 are currently

pending in this application. Claims 1-11, 16 and 17 are amended. New claim

18 is added.

Request for Withdrawal of the Finality of the Office Action

The Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the finality

of the Office Action mailed on July 2, 2008 because a Request for Continued

Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. §1.114 is filed concurrently herewith.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §102

Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as allegedly being

anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 7,072,663 to Ramos et al (hereinafter "Ramos").

Applicants respectfully submit that it does not.

Regarding claim 16, Examiner alleges that Ramos teaches a method for

enabling cell selection of preferred service areas (PSAs) where higher level system

information is received from the network. However, Ramos merely discloses

receiving network identification information for identifying a candidate network

area. The information received in Ramos only includes the availability of the

network and does not include <u>service level</u> information regarding, for example, the

- 5 -

connecting network's available capabilities, billing schemes supported, security

mechanisms provided, and data rates supported. Accordingly, Applicants

respectfully submit that claim 16 is allowable over the cited reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

Claims 1-2 and 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being

unpatentable over Ramos further in view of U.S. Patent Publication No.

2003/0134636 to Sundar et al. (hereinafter "Sundar"). Claims 3 and 4 are rejected

under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Ramos and Sundar

further in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,055,107 to Rappaport et al. (hereinafter

Rappaport).

Regarding claim 1, Examiner alleges that Ramos discloses a method for use

in a communication system in which a bidirectional internet protocol (IP) link at the

wireless transmit/receive unit (WTRU). Ramos however, merely discloses a WTRU

capable of using more than one radio access technology. Ramos does not disclose a

method using a bidirectional IP link to allow service operation parameter

negotiation prior to network selection. In addition, the transmission disclosed in

Ramos is unidirectional (see Ramos col. 6 lines 59-61).

Examiner also alleges that Ramos discloses a mobile station receiving a

handover command from the radio network controller (RNC). Applicants

- 6 -

**Application No.:** 10/612,156

respectfully submit that it does not. Ramos merely discloses receiving network

identification information for identifying a candidate network area. The

information received in Ramos only includes the availability of the network and

does not include <u>service level</u> information regarding, for example, the connecting

network's available capabilities, billing schemes supported, security mechanisms

provided, and data rates supported.

Examiner also alleges that Ramos discloses transmitting a request for system

information from the WTRU to the primary station over the bidirectional IP link.

Applicants respectfully submit that it does not. Ramos merely teaches that the

CRRM receives "on demand" information. Clearly, if the CRRM is receiving "on

demand" information, it is the CRRM that is performing the requesting – not the

WTRU. As Ramos states in column 3, line 35, the CRRM is provided by a server,

therefore the CRRM cannot be and is not a WTRU. Accordingly, Applicants

respectfully submit that claim 1 is allowable over the cited reference.

Sundar merely teaches sending a probe and waiting for a response.

response received in Sundar is merely an acknowledgement and does not include

service level information regarding, for example, the connecting network's available

capabilities, billing schemes supported, security mechanisms provided, and data

rates supported. Sundar, either alone or in combination with Ramos, does not

disclose a method using a bidirectional IP link to allow service operation parameter

- 7 -

**Application No.:** 10/612,156

negotiation prior to network selection. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit

that claim 1 is allowable over the cited references.

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Rappaport merely teaches including billing

information or security information in a device configuration parameter.

Rappaport, either alone or in combination with Ramos or Sundar, does not disclose

a method using a bidirectional IP link to allow service operation parameter

negotiation prior to network selection. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit

that claims 3 and 4 are allowable over the cited references.

Claims 2-11 are directly or indirectly dependent upon claim 1, which the

Applicants believe is allowable over the cited references of record for the same

reasons provided above. Claim 17 is dependent upon claim 16, which the

Applicants believe is allowable over the cited references of record for the same

reasons provided above.

Based on the arguments presented above, withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. §

102(e) rejection of claims 16 and 17 is respectfully requested. Based on the

arguments presented above, withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejection of claims

1-2 and 5-11 is respectfully requested.

- 8 -

Applicant: Menon et al.

**Application No.:** 10/612,156

Conclusion

If the Examiner believes that any additional minor formal matters need to be

addressed in order to place this application in condition for allowance, or that a

telephonic interview will help to materially advance the prosecution of this

application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone at the

Examiner's convenience.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, Applicants respectfully

submit that the present application is in condition for allowance and a notice to that

effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Menon et al.

By /Robert D. Leonard/

Robert D. Leonard

Registration No. 57,204

Volpe and Koenig, P.C.

United Plaza, Suite 1600

30 South 17th Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Telephone: (215) 568-6400

Facsimile: (215) 568-6499

NCM/RDL/ls

Enclosures (2)

- 9 -